

## I\_HEAL MENTORSHIP Survey - Year 2

### Part I: I-HEAL Introduction

1. Select all names of those you consider an I-HEAL mentor

Risa Nakase-Richardson

Megan Moore

Jolie Haun

Kristen Dams O'Connor

Jeanne Hoffman

Jenny Bogner

Leah Phillips

Dave Mellick

Jill Coulter

Ashok Kumbamu

Other

2. How many mentors provided sustained mentorship to you? (Number)

The value must be a number

3. How many months have you worked on I-HEAL? (Number)

The value must be a number

4. How would you characterize the strengths of mentorship on I-HEAL?

5. How would you characterize the weaknesses of mentorship on I-HEAL?

## Part II: Outcome Measures

Directions: Please check all of the following that resulted from your interaction with your mentors across projects, cores, and other activities as specified below. Supporting documents may be attached, as appropriate. Describe in detail.

6. Publication

7. Presentation or Poster

8. New teaching method or strategy

9. Research expertise

10. Engagement expertise

11. Other professional activities

12. Development of your programmatic focus: (e.g., research area of expertise).

13. Job change/promotion

14. Grant writing/submission

15. Other

Part III: Mentor Evaluation

16. Directions: The purpose of this scale is to evaluate the mentoring characteristics of I-HEAL mentors who have identified you as an individual with whom he/she has had a professional, mentor/mentee relationship. Indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each statement listed below. Choose the option that corresponds strongest with your response. Your responses will be kept confidential.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Slightly Disagree	Slightly Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
I-HEAL mentors are accessible.	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors demonstrate professional integrity.	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors demonstrate content expertise in my area of need.	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors are approachable.	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors are supportive and encouraging.	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors provide constructive and useful critiques of my work.	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors motivated me to improve my work product.	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors are helpful in providing direction and guidance on professional issues. (e.g., networking).	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors answered my questions satisfactorily (e.g., timely response, clear, comprehensive).	<input type="radio"/>					
I-HEAL mentors acknowledged my contributions appropriately.	<input type="radio"/>					

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Slightly Disagree	Slightly Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
-------------------	----------	-------------------	----------------	-------	----------------

I-HEAL mentors suggest appropriate resources (e.g., experts, electronic contacts, source materials).

<input type="radio"/>					
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

I-HEAL mentors challenged me to extend my abilities (e.g., risk taking, try a new professional activity, draft a section of an article).

<input type="radio"/>					
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

## Part IV: Mentoring Mechanisms

Please provide feedback on mentoring received as part of existing formal mechanisms or other needed topics

17. Mentoring Meeting Sessions

18. Implementation Science Core

19. Engagement Core

20. Data and Communication Core

21. Individual Project 1

22. Individual Project 2

23. Individual Project 3

## 24. Individual Project 4

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.

 Microsoft Forms